

WARREN LEX LLP

Matthew S. Warren (State Bar No. 230565)
Erika H. Warren (State Bar No. 295570)
Sachli Balazadeh-Nayeri (State Bar No. 341885)
2261 Market Street, No. 606
San Francisco, California 94114
Telephone: 415-895-2940
Facsimile: 415-895-2964

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

Ellisen Shelton Turner (SBN #224842)
Joshua Glucoft (SBN #301249)
2049 Century Park East, Suite 3700
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Tel: (310) 552-4200
Fax: (310) 552-5900
ellisen.turner@kirkland.com
josh.glucoft@kirkland.com

WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP

David I. Berl*
Adam D. Harber*
Elise M. Baumgarten*
Melissa B. Collins*
D. Shayon Ghosh (Cal. Bar No. 313628)
Arthur John Argall III*
Andrew G. Borrasso*
680 Maine Avenue SW
Washington, DC 20024
Telephone: 202-434-5000
Facsimile: 202-434-5029

* Admitted *pro hac vice*

Akshay S. Deoras (SBN #301962)
555 California Street
San Francisco, CA 94104
Tel: (415) 439-1400
Fax: (415) 439-1500
akshay.deoras@kirkland.com

Jeanne M. Heffernan (admitted *pro hac vice*)
401 Congress Avenue
Austin, TX 78701
Tel: (512) 678-9100
Fax: (512) 678-9101
jheffernan@kirkland.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Gentex Corp. and
Indigo Technologies, LLC*

*Counsel for Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc.
and Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION**

GENTEX CORPORATION and INDIGO

TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

THALES VISIONIX, INC.,

Involuntary Plaintiff,

v.

META PLATFORMS, INC. and META

PLATFORMS TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,

Defendants.

Case No. 4:22-cv-03892-YGR

**REVISED JOINT CLAIM
CONSTRUCTION STATEMENT**

Pursuant to Patent Local Rule 4-3, the Court’s Standing Order Regarding Patent Cases, the Case Management and Pretrial Order (ECF No. 116), and the Joint Order Regarding Claim Construction and Discovery (ECF No. 118), the parties to the above-titled action—Plaintiffs Gentex Corporation and Indigo Technologies, LLC (collectively, “Gentex” or “Plaintiffs”), and Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc. and Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC (collectively, “Meta” or “Defendants”)—submit this Revised Joint Claim Construction Statement.

The claim terms identified below are found in United States Patent Nos. 6,757,068 (the “’068 patent”), 7,301,648 (the “’648 patent”), 8,224,024 (the “’024 patent”), 6,922,632 (the “’632 patent”), and 7,725,253 (the “’253 patent”) (collectively, “Asserted Patents”). The patents fall into three families: the ’068 and ’648 Patents (“Family One”), the ’632 and ’253 Patents (“Family Two”), and the ’024 Patent (“Family Three”). In accordance with paragraph 1(c) of the Court’s Standing Order for Patent Cases, the Asserted Patents are attached as Exhibit 1 (’068 patent), Exhibit 2 (’648 patent), Exhibit 3 (’632 patent), Exhibit 4 (’253 patent), and Exhibit 5 (’024 patent).

I. Patent L.R. 4-3(a): Proposed Construction of Each Agreed Term

The parties agree that the preamble of claim 1 of the ’024 Patent is limiting.

’024 Patent		
Term	Claim	Agreed Construction
“A method comprising obtaining a camera image from a camera and processing said camera image in a data processor by computing the spatial location and azimuth of an object from the locations, in said camera image, of exactly two points on the object, and information about an orientation of the object, and generating one or more signals representative of the location and azimuth of the object, wherein computing the azimuth of the object comprises”	1	The preamble is limiting.

II. Patent L.R. 4-3(b): Proposed Construction of Each Disputed Term

Pursuant to Patent Local Rule 4-3(b) and paragraph 2(e) of the Court’s Standing Order For Patent Cases, the chart included as Appendix A to this Revised Joint Claim Construction Statement sets forth (a) the claim terms from the Asserted Patents for which the parties have not agreed on a construction, (b) each party’s proposed constructions for those terms, (c) references from the specification or prosecution history of the Asserted Patents that each party contends support its

1 proposed construction, and (d) extrinsic evidence known to each party on which it intends to rely
 2 either to support its proposed construction or to oppose the other party's proposed construction.

3 The parties will continue to discuss their disputed constructions in an attempt to narrow, if
 4 not eliminate, at least some of these disputes. Each party also expressly reserves the right to rely on
 5 the evidence identified by the other party.

6 **III. Patent L.R. 4-3(c): Identification of Terms Whose Construction Will Be Most** 7 **Significant to Resolution of the Case**

8 The parties together propose ten disputed terms for construction.¹ See Joint Order Regarding
 9 Claim Construction and Discovery, ECF No. 118 (ordering "claim construction will proceed on the
 10 parties' prior briefing"). Appendix A identifies all the disputed terms that are proposed. The parties
 11 have provided impact statements for the disputed terms in the chart attached as Appendix A to this
 12 Revised Joint Claim Construction Statement.

13 **Gentex statement.** Gentex proposes one term for construction, Term 4, and it believes no
 14 other contested term requires construction. Therefore, it believes Term 4 is the most significant to
 15 the resolution of the case, and does not take a position concerning which of the nine remaining terms
 16 proposed by Meta are more important than the other.

17 **Meta statement.** Meta proposes nine terms for construction, Terms 1-3 and 5-10. Meta's
 18 position is that the ten disputed terms are most significant to the resolution on the case because they
 19 bear on invalidity and non-infringement.

20 **IV. Patent L.R. 4-3(d): Time for Claim Construction Hearing**

21 The claim construction hearing is scheduled on January 13, 2023 at 9:00 am. ECF No. 118.
 22 The parties anticipate that they will require no more than three (3) hours for the entire claim
 23 construction hearing.

24
 25
 26
 27 ¹ The parties previously identified a total of 12 disputed terms. See ECF No. 118 at 1. In an effort
 28 to further narrow the terms in dispute, the parties have agreed to the construction of one term and
 dropped another term. Thus, there are now ten disputed terms.

1 **V. Patent L.R. 4-3(e): Witnesses at Claim Construction Hearing**

2 As reflected in Appendix A, the parties have identified expert witnesses in connection with
3 claim construction issues and have exchanged declarations setting forth those witnesses' testimony
4 and opinions related to claim construction. However, the parties do not expect to call any expert
5 witness to present live testimony at the hearing.

6 **VI. Patent L.R. 4-3(f): Identification of Any Factual Findings Requested From the Court**
7 **Related to Claim Construction**

8 The parties seek factual findings that a person of ordinary skill in the art, as of the filing dates
9 of the Asserted Patents, would have interpreted the claim terms identified in Appendix A in the
10 manners presented by the parties in their briefs and supporting expert witness declarations.

11 **Gentex statement:** In the event the Court decides that 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6 applies, then
12 Gentex would seek factual findings confirming there is sufficient corresponding structure for the
13 applicable terms.

14 **Meta statement:** Should the Court determine that 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6 applies, Meta would
15 seek factual findings confirming that the evidence fails to disclose sufficient structure for the
16 applicable means-plus-function terms, and therefore, such means-plus-function terms are indefinite.

1
2 Dated: November 18, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

3
4 /s/ Adam D. Harber

5 **WARREN LEX LLP**

6 Matthew S. Warren (State Bar No. 230565)

7 Erika H. Warren (State Bar No. 295570)

8 Sachli Balazadeh-Nayeri (State Bar No. 341885)

9 2261 Market Street, No. 606

10 San Francisco, California 94114

11 Telephone: 415-895-2940

12 Facsimile: 415-895-2964

13 **WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP**

14 David I. Berl*

15 Adam D. Harber*

16 Elise M. Baumgarten*

17 Melissa B. Collins*

18 D. Shayon Ghosh (Cal. Bar No. 313628)

19 Arthur John Argall III*

20 Andrew G. Borrasso*

21 680 Maine Avenue SW

22 Washington, DC 20024

23 Telephone: 202-434-5000

24 Facsimile: 202-434-5029

25 * Admitted *pro hac vice*

26 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs Gentex Corp. and*
27 *Indigo Technologies, LLC*

28
Dated: November 18, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Ellisen Shelton Turner

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

Ellisen Shelton Turner (SBN #224842)

Joshua Glucoft (SBN #301249)

2049 Century Park East, Suite 3700

Los Angeles, CA 90067

Tel: (310) 552-4200

Fax: (310) 552-5900

ellisen.turner@kirkland.com

josh.glucoft@kirkland.com

Akshay S. Deoras (SBN #301962)

555 California Street
San Francisco, CA 94104
Tel: (415) 439-1400
Fax: (415) 439-1500
akshay.deoras@kirkland.com

Jeanne M. Heffernan (admitted *pro hac vice*)
401 Congress Avenue
Austin, TX 78701
Tel: (512) 678-9100
Fax: (512) 678-9101
jheffernan@kirkland.com

*Counsel for Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc. and Meta
Platforms Technologies, LLC*

SIGNATURE ATTESTATION

Under Civil L.R. 5-1(h)(3), I attest that all electronic signatories to the foregoing document
have concurred in this filing.

/s/ Matthew S. Warren

Matthew S. Warren

APPENDIX A

All terms were proposed by Defendants except Term 4, which was proposed by Plaintiffs. In addition to the evidence cited below, each party also cites to the other party's expert declaration and the expert's deposition transcript.

A. Family One Terms

Term 1^{2,3} Claim Language	Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support	
	Gentex's	Meta's
'068, cls. 1, 11-12, 50, 54: "sourceless orientation tracker" '648, cls. 1, 16, 40: "sourceless orientation tracker" '648, cl. 41: "sourceless measurement"	PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION	PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION
	Plain and ordinary meaning, in light of the claims and specification— <i>i.e.</i> , for "sourceless orientation tracker," an orientation tracker that does not require setup of a fixed source that propagates a signal to the tracked sensor; and for "sourceless measurement," a measurement from a sourceless sensor	"can be used anywhere with no set-up of a source"
	DICTIONARY/TREATISE DEFINITIONS	DICTIONARY/TREATISE DEFINITIONS
	INTRINSIC EVIDENCE	INTRINSIC EVIDENCE
	'068 Patent at 1:17–20, 1:26–27, 1:35–45, 14:57–60; <i>id.</i> at cls. 1, 11–12, 50, 54. '648 Patent at cl. 1, 16, 40–41.	'068 Patent at 1:26-27, 1:35-41, 5:45-46; <i>id.</i> at cls. 1, 11, 12, 40, 54. '648 Patent at cls. 1, 16, 40, 41.

² Defendants object to Plaintiffs' inclusion of the construction for "sourceless measurement" as "a measurement from a sourceless sensor." Plaintiffs did not propose this construction in Plaintiffs' February 14, 2022 Identification of Revised Initial Terms and Constructions or March 21, 2022 Opening Claim Construction Brief.

³ Plaintiffs respond that their opening brief stated, "A 'sourceless measurement' is simply a measurement from a sourceless sensor." Pls.' Br. 4.

Term 1^{2,3} Claim Language	Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support	
	Gentex's	Meta's
	EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE	EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE
Gentex's Impact Statement:	Gentex believes that these claims are valid and infringed under its construction.	
Meta's Impact Statement:	Meta believes that under its construction, these claims are not infringed and invalid.	

Term 2 Claim Language	Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support	
	Gentex's	Meta's
<p>'068, cls. 1, 54: “track a position of a first localized feature associated with a limb of the user”</p> <p>'648, cl. 1: “track a position of a first localized feature associated with a limb of the user”</p>	PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION	PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION
	Plain and ordinary meaning, in light of the claims and specification— <i>i.e.</i> , track a position of a first part of a limb of a user, or an object or part of an object associated with a limb of the user	Indefinite
	INTRINSIC EVIDENCE	INTRINSIC EVIDENCE
	'068 Patent at 1:46–61, 5:45–6:29; <i>id.</i> at cls. 1, 3–4, 54. '648 Patent at cl. 1.	'068 Patent at 1:46–61, 5:5-12, 14:5-10, 5:45–6:29; <i>id.</i> at cls. 1, 54. '648 Patent at cl. 1.
	EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE	EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE
	LaViola Decl. ¶¶ 31–37; LaViola Dep. Tr. 33:25–34:5 (in rebuttal); Kenneth A. Duell & Mark O. Freeman, <i>Localized Feature Selection to Maximize Discrimination</i> , Proc. SPIE 1564 (1991) (Meta Ex. 9, GNTX0001617–GNTX0001629); Bobick Decl. ¶¶ 18, 26, 29–30; Bobick Dep. Tr. 69:21–70:9, 78:25–80:11, 85:11–12, 117:19–118:23.	Bobick Decl. ¶¶ 26-31; LaViola Dep. Tr. at 33:21-34:7, 34:20-35:3, 36:2-37:25; LaViola Decl. ¶ 34. GNTX0001630, GNTX0001617.
Gentex's Impact Statement:	Gentex believes that these claims are valid and infringed under its construction.	
Meta's Impact Statement:	Meta's construction of this disputed term would render the identified claims and associated dependent claims as invalid for being indefinite.	

Term 3 Claim Language	Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support	
	Gentex's	Meta's
'068, cl. 26: "after changing the position of the first localized feature, redisplaying the first object at a second position on the display device determined based on the change in the position of the first localized feature. "	PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION	PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION
	Plain and ordinary meaning, in light of the claim and specification	Indefinite
	INTRINSIC EVIDENCE	INTRINSIC EVIDENCE
	'068 Patent, cls. 15, 26	'068 Patent, cls. 1, 14, 15, 16, 26
	EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE	EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE
	LaViola Decl. ¶ 41; LaViola Dep. Tr. 53:19–54:16 (in rebuttal); Bobick ¶ 40.	Bobick Decl. ¶¶ 37–41; LaViola Dep. Tr. at 56:1-4.
Gentex's Impact Statement:	Gentex believes this claim is valid and infringed under its construction.	
Meta's Impact Statement:	Meta's construction of this disputed term would render the identified claim and associated dependent claims as invalid for being indefinite.	

Term 4 Claim Language	Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support	
	Gentex's	Meta's
'648, cl. 56: "A system comprising . . ."	PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION	PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION
	"method"	<p>The word "system" should be given its plain and ordinary meaning.</p> <p>The claim is indefinite, including at least as a mixed method and apparatus claim.</p>
	INTRINSIC EVIDENCE	INTRINSIC EVIDENCE
	'068 Patent, at cls. 56–57.	'068 Patent, cls. 56-59. GNTX0000296.
Gentex's Impact Statement:	Gentex believes this claim is valid and infringed under its construction.	
Meta's Impact Statement:	Meta's construction of this disputed term would render the identified claim and associated dependent claims as invalid for being indefinite, i.e., a mixed method and apparatus claim.	

Term 5 Claim Language	Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support	
	Gentex's	Meta's
'648, cl. 20: "providing a head mounted display including a body stabilized information cockpit . . ."	PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION	PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION
	Plain and ordinary meaning, in light of the claim and specification—i.e., an information cockpit displayed at a fixed location relative to a user's body	"a display at a fixed location on an information surround, which is a kind of cylindrical or spherical bubble of information that follows the user's body position around"
	INTRINSIC EVIDENCE	INTRINSIC EVIDENCE
	'648 Patent, at 9:9–22, 9:48–54, 9:8–10:12, 12:50–52; <i>id.</i> at cl. 20.	'648 Patent at 9:12-20, 9:41-52, 9:61-10:3, 10:19-25, 12:50-54; <i>id.</i> at cl. 20.
Gentex's Impact Statement:	Gentex believes this claim is valid and infringed under its construction.	
Meta's Impact Statement:	Meta believes that under its construction, these claims are not infringed and invalid.	

B. Family Two Terms

Term 6 Claim Language	Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support	
	Gentex's	Meta's
<p>'632, cl. 5: "... state estimate characterizes an estimate of a location of the object ..."</p> <p>'632, cl. 6. "... state estimate characterizes configuration information for one or more sensing elements fixed to the object ..."</p> <p>'632, cl. 59. "... information characterizing a type of a sensor ..."</p> <p>'632, cl. 60. "... information characterizing a position or an orientation of a sensor ..."</p> <p>'632, cl. 61. "... information characterizing one or more calibration parameters of a sensor ..."</p>	PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION	PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION
	Plain and ordinary meaning, in light of the claims and specification	Indefinite
	DICTIONARY/TREATISE DEFINITIONS	DICTIONARY/TREATISE DEFINITIONS
	<i>Characterize</i> , Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary (11th ed. 2007); <i>Characterize</i> , The American Heritage College Dictionary (3d ed. 1997).	<i>Characterize</i> , MERRIAM-WEBSTER'S COLLEGIATE DICTIONARY (11th ed. 2007); <i>Characterize</i> , THE AMERICAN HERITAGE COLLEGE DICTIONARY (3rd ed. 1997)
	INTRINSIC EVIDENCE	INTRINSIC EVIDENCE
	'632 patent, at 15:43–47, 28:18–32; <i>id.</i> at cls. 5–6, 59–61.	'632 Patent at 21:42–47, 2:60–63, 3:4–6, 3:21–24, 3:37–45, 5:32–35, 5:36–40, 5:41–45, 7:15–17, 7:18–21, 7:23–26, 10:7–9; <i>id.</i> at cls. 5, 6, 59, 60, 61
	EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE	EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE
	LaViola Decl. ¶¶ 57–65; LaViola Dep. Tr. 86:24–87:14; 87:6–22 (in rebuttal); Bobick Decl. ¶¶ 53, 55; Bobick Dep. Tr. 175:17–20.	Bobick Decl. ¶¶ 53–61; LaViola Dep. Tr. at 86:24 87:14, 91:23–92:4; LaViola Decl. ¶ 64.
Gentex's Impact Statement:	Gentex believes that these claims are valid and infringed under its construction.	
Meta's Impact Statement:	Meta's construction of this disputed term would render the identified claim and associated dependent claims as invalid for being indefinite.	

Term 7 Claim Language	Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support	
	Gentex's	Meta's
Estimation module '632, cls. 47, 50–52	PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION	PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION
	Plain and ordinary meaning, in light of the claims and specification— <i>i.e.</i> , “module that accepts sensor configuration and measurement information and updates a state estimate using some or all of that information.” (35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6 does not apply.)	Means-plus-function under § 112, ¶ 6.
	<p><u>Alternatively, if 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6 applies:</u></p> <p>Function: “maintaining estimates of tracking parameters”</p> <p>Structure: “accepting configuration information from each of the sensor modules regarding the characteristics of the sensors associated with the sensor module, configuring the estimation module using the provided configuration information, and repeatedly (a) passing data based on the estimates of the tracking parameters to one or more of the sensor modules, (b) receiving from said one or more sensor modules data based on measurements obtained from the associated sensors, and the data passed to the sensor modules, and (c) combining the data received from said one or more sensor modules and the estimates of the tracking parameters to update the tracking parameters.” In addition, claim 50-52 provide additional structural limitations as applicable to those claims.</p> <p>If additional structure is required: The portion of a navigation or tracking system that is specific to updating the states of the system, which processes information from sensing elements and updates the states using an iterative process, where the iterative process may be a stochastic model, such as a Kalman filter, a complementary extended Kalman filter, any of the other algorithms described at 6:60-7:14 and 10:40-11:9 of the specification, or equivalents. <i>See, e.g.</i>, '632 patent at 3:60-61, 6:60-7:14, 10:40-11:9, 20:6-19, 26:3-12. The structure associated with the estimation module and claimed functions further includes the portions of the specification</p>	<p>Function: “maintaining estimates of tracking parameters in the estimation module, including repeatedly passing data based on the estimates of the tracking parameters from the estimation module to one or more of the sensor modules, receiving from said one or more sensor modules at the estimation module data based on measurements obtained from the associated sensors, and the data passed to the sensor modules, and combining the data received from said one or more sensor modules and the estimates of the tracking parameters in the estimation module to update the tracking parameters”</p> <p>Structure: Indefinite</p>

Term 7 Claim Language	Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support	
	Gentex's	Meta's
	regarding updating tracking parameters and providing them to the sensor modules, or any algorithm equivalent to this functionality. <i>See, e.g., id.</i> at 13:47-64, 15:61-16:5, 17:5-16, 45:51-46:16.	
	INTRINSIC EVIDENCE	INTRINSIC EVIDENCE
	'632 patent at 3:60–61, 6:32–43, 6:60–7:14, 10:40-11:9, 13:47-64, 15:61-16:5, 17:5-16, 20:6-19, 26:3-12, 45:51-46:16, 50:12; <i>id.</i> cls. 47, 50-52.	'632 Patent at 7:39-9:3, 17:57-60, 18:12-17, 24:26-28, 24:45-67, 27:29-31, 42:33-38; <i>id.</i> at cls. 47, 50, 51, 52.
	EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE	EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE
	LaViola Decl. ¶¶ 70–77, 79–93, 98, 118, 120–21, 133, 136, 142 (in rebuttal); Bobick Decl. ¶¶ 67, 69; Bobick Tr. 27:21–25, 34:11–16, 46:2–7, 191:9–23, 192:4–9, 207:13–208:10.	Bobick Decl. ¶ 67-69; LaViola Dep. Tr. at 93:16-23, 95:13-23; LaViola Decl. ¶ 83.
Gentex's Impact Statement:	Gentex believes this claim is valid and infringed under its construction.	
Meta's Impact Statement:	Meta's construction of this disputed term would render the identified claim and associated dependent claims as invalid for being indefinite.	

Term 8 Claim Language	Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support	
	Gentex's	Meta's
Estimation subsystem '632, cls. 1–2; '253, cls. 1, 3–4, 6.	PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION Plain and ordinary meaning, in light of the claims and specification— <i>i.e.</i> , “subsystem that accepts sensor configuration and measurement information and updates a state estimate using some or all of that information.” (35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6 does not apply.)	PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION Means-plus-function under § 112, ¶ 6.
	<u>Alternatively, if 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6 applies:</u> '632 patent, claims 1 and 2— Function: “providing or updating a state estimate for the object” Structure: “accepting configuration data from the sensor subsystem, configuring the estimation subsystem according to the accepted configuration data, accepting measurement information from the sensor subsystem, and providing or repeatedly updating the state estimate according to the accepted configuration data and the accepted measurement data.” In addition, claim 2 provides additional structural limitations as applicable to that claim (<i>i.e.</i> , that “coupling the sensor subsystem to the estimation subsystem includes coupling software modules each associated with one or more of the sensing elements”). If additional structure is required: For '632 patent, claims 1 and 2: The portion of a navigation or tracking system that is specific to updating the states of the system, which processes information from sensing elements and updates the	'632 patent, claim 1–2—Function: “accepting configuration data from the sensor subsystem” and “repeatedly updating a state estimate, including accepting measurement information from the sensor subsystem, and updating the state estimate according to the accepted configuration data and the accepted measurement data” Structure: Indefinite

Term 8 Claim Language	Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support	
	Gentex's	Meta's
	<p>states using an iterative process, where the iterative process may be a stochastic model, such as a Kalman filter, a complementary extended Kalman filter, any of the other algorithms described at 6:60-7:14 and 10:40-11:9 of the specification, or equivalents. <i>See, e.g.</i>, '632 patent at 3:60-61, 6:60-7:14, 10:40-11:9, 20:6-19, 26:3-12.</p> <p>In addition, for '632 patent, claim 2: The structure associated with the estimation subsystem and its claimed functions further includes the portions of the specification regarding the interactions between the sensor fusion core and sensor modules associated with one or more of the sensing elements, or equivalents. <i>See, e.g., id.</i> at 18:34-38, 19:1-6, 19:20-25.</p>	
	<p><u>Alternatively, if 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6 applies:</u></p> <p>'253 claims 1, 3, and 4—</p> <p>Function: “updating a location estimate for the object”</p> <p>Structure: “accepting from the sensor subsystem configuration data and measurement information for localizing an object, and updating a location estimate for the object based on configuration data and measurement information accepted from the sensor subsystem.” In addition, claims 3 and 4 provide additional structural limitations as applicable to those claims (i.e., that the components of the sensor subsystem can “perform computations independently of an implementation of the estimation subsystem” for</p>	<p>'253 patent, claims 1, 3—Function: “updat[ing] a location estimate for the object based on configuration data and measurement information accepted from the sensor subsystem”</p> <p>Structure: Indefinite</p> <p>'253 patent, claim 4—Function: “perform[ing] computations independently of an implementation of the sensor modules”</p> <p>Structure: Indefinite</p>

Term 8 Claim Language	Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support	
	Gentex's	Meta's
	<p>claim 3, and that “the estimation subsystem [can] perform computations independently of” the components of the sensor subsystem for claim 4).</p> <p>If additional structure is required:</p> <p>For '253 claims 1, 3, and 4: The portion of a navigation or tracking system that is specific to updating the states of the system, which processes information from sensing elements and updates the states using an iterative process, where the iterative process may be a stochastic model, such as a Kalman filter, a complementary extended Kalman filter, any of the other algorithms described at 6:60-7:14 and 10:40-11:9 of the specification, or equivalents. <i>See, e.g.</i>, '632 patent at 3:60-61, 6:60-7:14, 10:40-11:9, 20:6-19, 26:3-12.</p> <p>In addition, for '253 patent, claim 1: The structure associated with the estimation subsystem and its claimed functions further includes the portions of the specification specific to updating a location estimate for a tracked object, or equivalents. <i>See, e.g., id.</i> at 12:37-40, 16:59-64.</p> <p>In addition, for '253 patent, claims 3-4: The structure associated with the estimation subsystem and its claimed functions further includes the portions of the specification regarding the sensor fusion core performing calculations independently of the specific implementation of sensor modules associated with</p>	

Term 8 Claim Language	Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support	
	Gentex's	Meta's
	sensing elements and vice versa, or equivalents. <i>See, e.g., id.</i> at 4:26-31, 16:38-44, 17:33-38.	
	<p><u>Alternatively, if 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6 applies:</u></p> <p>'253 patent, claim 6—</p> <p>Function: “estimating the position or orientation of the object”</p> <p>Structure: “accepting parameters specific to the enumerated sensing elements and estimating the position or orientation of the object based on the accepted parameters.”</p> <p>If additional structure is required: The portion of a navigation or tracking system that is specific to updating the states of the system, which processes information from sensing elements and updates the states using an iterative process, where the iterative process may be a stochastic model, such as a Kalman filter, a complementary extended Kalman filter, any of the other algorithms described at 6:60-7:14 and 10:40-11:9 of the specification, or equivalents. <i>See, e.g., '632 patent</i> at 3:60-61, 6:60-7:14, 10:40-11:9, 20:6-19, 26:3-12.</p> <p>The structure associated with the estimation subsystem and its claimed functions further includes the portions of the specification regarding accepting parameters specific to enumerated sensing elements, <i>see, e.g., id.</i> at 18:34-38, 19:1-6, 19:20-25, and updating a location</p>	<p>'253 patent, claim 6—Function: “estimat[ing] a position or orientation of an object”</p> <p>Structure: Indefinite</p>

Term 8 Claim Language	Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support	
	Gentex's	Meta's
	estimate for a tracked object based on these parameters, <i>see, e.g., id.</i> at 12:37-40, 16:59-64, or equivalents.	
	INTRINSIC EVIDENCE	INTRINSIC EVIDENCE
	'632 patent, at 2:41–46, 3:60–61, 4:26–31, 6:60–7:14, 10:21–64, 10:40–11:9, 12:37–40, 16:38–44, 16:59–64, 17:33–38, 18:34–38, 19:1–6, 19:20–25, 20:6–19, 26:3–12, 46:26; <i>id.</i> at cls. 1–2, 4, 7–8, 14. '253 patent, at cls. 1, 3–4, 6.	'632 Patent at 2:35–59, 3:25–28, 4:11–57, 6:57–64, 10:40–64, 17:57–60, 18:12–17, 24:26–28, 24:45–67, 27:29–31, 42:33–38; <i>id.</i> at cls. 1, 2. '253 Patent, cls. 1, 3, 4, 6.
	EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE	EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE
	LaViola Decl. ¶¶ 77, 79–82, 89, 93, 97–113, 122, 128, 134, 137, 143, 146 (in rebuttal); Bobick Decl. ¶¶ 73, 76; Bobick Dep. Tr. 191:9–23, 192:4–9, 218:19–220:3.	Bobick Decl. ¶¶ 73–76; LaViola Dep. Tr. at 119:22–120:7, 120:11–19, 114:1–5.
Gentex's Impact Statement:	Gentex believes that these claims are valid and infringed under its construction.	
Meta's Impact Statement:	Meta's construction of this disputed term would render the identified claim and associated dependent claims as invalid for being indefinite.	

Term 9 Claim Language	Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support	
	Gentex's	Meta's
Sensor module(s) '632 claims 47, 50, 59–61; '253 claims 2–4.	PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION Plain and ordinary meaning, in light of the claims and specification— <i>i.e.</i> , “interface or interfaces between a set of one or more sensors and a tracking system.” (35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6 does not apply.)	PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION Means-plus-function under § 112, ¶ 6.
	<p><u>Alternatively, if 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6 applies:</u> '632 patent, claims 47, 50, 59–61—</p> <p>Function: “providing information from a set of one or more sensors to the estimation module”</p> <p>Structure: “providing configuration information regarding the characteristics of a set of one or more sensors associated with the sensor module, and repeatedly (a) receiving from the estimation module data based on the estimates of the tracking parameters, and (b) providing to the estimation module data based on measurements obtained from the associated sensors and the data received by the sensor module from the estimation module.” In addition, claims 50 and 59-61 of the '632 Patent provide additional structural limitations as applicable to those claims.</p> <p>If additional structure is required: '632 patent, claims 47, 50, 59-61: The structure associated with the sensor module and its claimed functions further includes the portions of the specification regarding receiving updated tracking parameters from the estimation subsystem, or equivalents. <i>See, e.g.</i>, '632 patent at 13:47-65, 15:61-16:5, 17:5-16, 18:34-38, 45:27-44, 45:51-46:16.</p> <p>In addition, for '632 patent, claim 59: The structure associated with the sensor module and its claimed functions further includes the portions of the specification regarding configuration information about the type of sensor, or equivalents. <i>See, e.g., id.</i> at 10:7-11, 30:37-56, 35:11-53, 42:47-51.</p> <p>In addition, for '632 patent, claim 60: The structure associated with the sensor module and its claimed functions further includes the portions of the</p>	<p>'632 claims 47, 50, 59-61— Function: “providing configuration information to the estimation module regarding characteristics of the sensors associated with the sensor module”; “[receiving] data based on estimates of the tracking parameters from the estimation module;” and “[sending] data based on measurements obtained from the associated sensors”</p> <p>Structure: Indefinite</p>

Term 9 Claim Language	Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support	
	Gentex's	Meta's
	<p>specification regarding measurement information about the position or orientation of a sensor, or equivalents. <i>See, e.g., id.</i> at 13:11-14, 14:8-15:42.</p> <p>In addition, for '632 patent, claim 61: The structure associated with the sensor module and its claimed functions further includes the portions of the specification regarding configuration information about the calibration parameters of a sensor, or equivalents. <i>See, e.g., id.</i> at 13:47-54, 15:61-16:5, 17:5-16, 18:56-59, 27:34-36, 28:18-46.</p>	
	<p><u>Alternatively, if 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6 applies:</u></p> <p>'253 claims 2-4—</p> <p>Function: “providing information from a set of one or more sensors”</p> <p>Structure: “providing an interface for interacting with a corresponding set of one or more sensing elements.” In addition, claims 3 and 4 provide additional structural limitations as applicable to those claims.</p> <p>If additional structure is required:</p> <p>For '253 patent, claims 3-4: The structure associated with the sensor module and its claimed functions further includes the portions of the specification specific to the sensor fusion core performing calculations independently of the specific implementation of sensor modules, and vice versa, or equivalents. <i>See, e.g., '253 patent</i> at 4:26-31, 16:38-44, 17:33-38, 20:36-44, 22:38-50.</p>	<p>'253 claims 2, 4—Function: “providing an interface for interacting with a corresponding set of one or more sensing elements”</p> <p>Structure: Indefinite</p> <hr/> <p>'253 claim 3—Function: “performing computations independently of an implementation of the estimation subsystem”</p> <p>Structure: Indefinite</p>
	INTRINSIC EVIDENCE	INTRINSIC EVIDENCE
	<p>'632 Patent, at 4:35–38, 10:7-11, 13:11-14, 13:47-65, 14:8-15:42, 15:61-16:5, 16:45–46, 17:5-16, 18:34-38, 18:56-59, 27:34-36, 28:18-46, 30:37-56, 35:11-53, 42:47-51, 45:27-44, 45:51-46:16; <i>id.</i> at cls. 47, 50, 59–61;</p> <p>'253 Patent, at 4:26-31, 16:38-44, 17:33-38, 20:36-44, 22:38-50; <i>id.</i> at cls. 2–4.</p>	<p>'632 Patent at 9:61-10:6, 10:1–16, 10:34–37.; <i>id.</i> at cls. 47, 50, 59, 60, 61.</p> <p>'253 Patent at 11:49-51, 12:50-52, 15:51-54; <i>id.</i> at cls. 1-2.</p>

Term 9 Claim Language	Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support	
	Gentex's	Meta's
	EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE	EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE
	LaViola Decl. ¶¶ 89, 93, 104, 113, 117–121, 123–128, 137, 146 (in rebuttal); Bobick Decl. ¶ 80; Bobick Dep. Tr. 191:9–23, 192:4–9, 194:6–10.	Bobick Decl. ¶¶ 80–84; LaViola Dep. Tr. at 133:25-134:5; LaViola Decl. ¶ 123.
Gentex's Impact Statement:	Gentex believes that these claims are valid and infringed under its construction.	
Meta's Impact Statement:	Meta's construction of this disputed term would render the identified claim and associated dependent claims as invalid for being indefinite.	

Term 10 Claim Language	Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support	
	Gentex's	Meta's
Sensor subsystem '253, cls. 1–2	PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION	PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION
	Plain and ordinary meaning, in light of the claims and specification— <i>i.e.</i> , “subsystem that provides configuration information and measurement information from sensors to the estimation subsystem.” (35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6 does not apply.)	Means-plus-function under § 112, ¶ 6.
	<p><u>Alternatively, if 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6 applies:</u></p> <p>'253 patent, claims 1, 2—Function: “providing information to the estimation subsystem for localizing an object,”</p> <p>Structure: “providing configuration data to the estimation subsystem, and providing measurement information to the estimation subsystem for localizing an object.”</p> <p>If additional structure is required:</p> <p>For '253 patent, claims 1, 2: A software subsystem that provides an interface between sensors and an estimation subsystem, collects configuration data from sensors, and sends measurement information about sensor measurements to the estimation subsystem to update the state of the system. <i>See, e.g.</i>, '632 patent at 16:41-46, 17:27-28, 18:3-8, 18:48-51.</p> <p>In addition, for '253 patent, claim 2: The structure associated with the sensor subsystem and its claimed functions further includes the sensor modules discussed above, or equivalents.</p>	<p>'253 patent, claims 1, 2—Function: “provid[ing] configuration data to the estimation subsystem and ... provid[ing] measurement information to the estimation subsystem for localizing an object”</p> <p>Structure: Indefinite</p>
	INTRINSIC EVIDENCE	INTRINSIC EVIDENCE
	'632 patent at 4:23–25, 16:41–46, 17:27–28, 18:3–8, 18:48–51; '253 patent, at cls. 1–2.	'253 Patent at 2:36-47, 2:50-52, 3:10-14, 4:7-15, 4:18-27; <i>id.</i> at cls. 1, 2. '632 Patent at 2:35–52, 3:10–15, 4:7–20.

Term 10 Claim Language	Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support	
	Gentex's	Meta's
	EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE	EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE
	LaViola Decl. ¶¶ 118, 121, 132–133, 135–137 (in rebuttal); Bobick Decl. ¶¶ 88, 90; Bobick Dep. Tr. 191:9–23, 192:4–9.	Bobick Decl. ¶¶ 88–90; LaViola Dep. Tr. at 159:12-18, 159:19-160:1.
Gentex's Impact Statement:	Gentex believes these claims are valid and infringed under its construction.	
Meta's Impact Statement:	Meta's construction of this disputed term would render the identified claim and associated dependent claims as invalid for being indefinite.	